Office of Information Technology

R-01 Modernizing Aging IT Systems

SHORT PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Governor's Office of Information Technology (OIT) is requesting \$66.0 million in one-time state funding to begin to address the state's technology debt by updating, modernizing, and decommissioning aging technology systems.

PRIOR APPROPRIATION AND REQUEST INFORMATION

| Fund Source | Prior Approp. | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | Future Requests | Total Cost |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|
| GF | \$0 | \$66,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$66,000,000 |
| Total | \$0 | \$66,000,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$66,000,000 |

PROJECT STATUS

This is a new, never-before-requested project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

OIT is requesting \$66.0 million in one-time state funding to begin updating, modernizing, and decommissioning the state's aging technology systems. There are three main components to this request:

1. Decommission the mainframe

OIT plans to partner with agencies to move all applications currently on the mainframe onto modern, cloud-based systems. These include the State Identification Module (SIDMOD), Electronic Benefits Transfer (EBT), the Automated Child Support Enforcement System (ACSES), the Colorado Payroll and Personnel System (CPPS), and managed file transfer (MFT)/Cyberfusion.

2. Applications modernization

OIT plans to decommission end-of-life technologies by upgrading to newer versions and replatforming applications where possible.

3. eFORT exit

OIT plans to migrate systems out of the leased eFORT datacenter and transition these to modern technologies, including the cloud.

Additional details regarding the scope of the project is included in the Appendix table provided by OIT.

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

According to and OIT analysis, the state has at least \$465 million in technology debt, which creates increased risks to state security and operations. This request is meant to be the first step in meaningfully addressing this risk.

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Details regarding the cost-benefit analysis for each project component is provided in the Appendix table.

PROJECT COST INFORMATION

Cost information for each project component is provided in the Appendix table.

CASH FUNDS

N/A

Office of Information Technology R-01 Modernizing Aging IT Systems

PROJECT RESEARCH

Detailed assumptions regarding the request are included in the Appendix table.

ADDITIONAL PROJECT INFORMATION

In FY 2019-20, OIT received approval to create a common policy for application refresh and consolidation. This service has an annual budget of \$800,700 for all agencies. According to OIT, these funds are typically deployed to assist a few agencies in addressing an emergency or immediate need due to the limited funding. Additionally, Senate Bill 21-287 created the Technology Risk Prevention and Response Fund, which may be used for one-time costs associated with an IT emergency; ensuring compliance with the office's IT standards and policies; and preventing risk from IT debt that is anticipating failure, nearing or no longer maintained or supported by manufacturers or vendors, out of security compliance or creating security risk, part of an outstanding state audit recommendation, or keeping the state from recognizing efficiencies or advances in IT or IT financing. The bill appropriated \$2.0 million General Fund to the new fund, but did not create a sustainable funding mechanism.

According to OIT, for FY 2023-24, the Rates and Services Board has approved for OIT to charge legacy tier rates for databases that will result in charging agencies more for their legacy systems, which OIT hopes will incentivize agencies to modernize systems and take advantage of the non-legacy tiers of service rates.

PROJECT SCHEDULE

| | Start Date | Completion Date |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Planning | July 2022 | December 2023 |
| Implementation | September 2022 | June 2024 |
| Testing | September 2022 | June 2024 |
| Closing | June 2023 | June 2024 |

QUESTIONS

1. Please describe how the "Mainframe Decommissioning Application 4: CPPS/payroll port application to server environment" line relates to the request submitted by the Department of Personnel and Administration for a payroll modernization project. Please describe OIT's work with DPA on both of these requests. What, if any, learnings from the prior HRWorks project will be able to be leveraged for this project?

DPA and OIT have collaborated on both requests. DPA's CC-IT-01 Payroll Modernization request, if approved, would focus on modernizing the payroll and financial systems but does not include funding for the overarching mainframe replacement. The OIT request was submitted as part of a comprehensive plan to decommission the mainframe, which includes five tracks addressing several systems, including CPPS. Regardless of DPA's request, the work requested by OIT remains critical and necessary in parallel to any effort for DPA's business-focused initiative. Once detailed planning for both efforts is completed, we will be able to understand efficiencies, vulnerabilities, and risks and how the projects overlap one another to actually optimize efforts across the requests. The ultimate priority for OIT is to reduce risk and cost to the state by removing the legacy mainframe. While the mainframe is in production, our priority is to mitigate the risks to the state of failure, lapses in support, and loss of specific system knowledge necessary to sustain the solution.

Learnings from the prior project include having the benefit of the Gartner study, HRWorks lessons learned, and the extensive market information we've recently received. The agile methodology also has shown to be very effective in this type of project and should prevent expenditures with no immediate value/benefit (MVP). The clear benefit of product and process ownership along with clear roles and responsibilities will be applied.

Office of Information Technology

R-01 Modernizing Aging IT Systems

2. After completion of the five mainframe decommissioning projects included in this budget request, does OIT plan to totally eliminate the mainframe and will there be any additional mainframe-related costs in the future?

OIT would hop there would be no mainframe services after the requested mitigations are complete. We have identified the five major threads and plan for a full decommission. Ancillary dependencies may be discovered during the course of our work. If such additional services require an ongoing mainframe, OIT plans to migrate said functions to the cloud or to implement other options thereby mitigating the risk.

3. Please provide additional information about OIT's stakeholding work with agency partners and change management plan for every aspect of this project.

See the table provided in the Appendix for the information currently available and used to rough out our budget request. We have not yet conducted detailed project planning, stakeholder engagement or detailed costing for this work. Funding for detailed planning is included as part of the request. It would be the first phase of work. As a result, the detailed answers to most of these areas of inquiry are not yet available. OIT has not yet put together a change management plan and will do so once funding is approved.

4. Page 2 of the budget request document discusses the common policy service that was created in FY 2019-20 for agency refresh costs with an annual budget of \$800,700. Please describe what projects these funds have been used for since then.

The agency, expense, and project descriptions for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21 are detailed below. Project information for FY 2021-22 is not yet available as the service owner is finalizing the projects and spending plan with the agencies. These funds are used to migrate applications to OIT standard or enterprise platform solutions.

FY 2019-20 total: \$776,700

- Dept. of Agriculture: Contract developer to convert MS Access database to MS Sequel for business applications, \$50,920
- Dept. of Public Health and Environment: Records management project, \$114,106
- Dept. of Military and Veterans Affairs: Digitize National Guard orders that are paper, \$9,504
- Dept. of Natural Resources: Migration of Colorado Parks and Wildlife from Inspect This! To Salesforce, \$28,850
- Dept. of Local Affairs: Bureau of Assessment Appeals Court Case Management System and migration of the Division of Housing from Inspect This! To Salesforce, \$262,950
- Dept. of Revenue: Kronos implementation (partial cost), \$60,056
- Dept. of Regulatory Affairs: Public Utilities Commission Hyland PAWS project, \$106,154
- Dept. of Personnel and Administration: Fairfax Pipeline upgrade, \$114,900
- History Colorado: Data consolidation and cleaning from multiple databases (EOS and Archivist toolkit) so data can be moved from a 2008 terminal server before it fails, \$29,260

FY 2020-21 total: \$776,997

- Dept. of Human Services: MoveIT project, CHATS request related to IAM, ACSES request tied to Automic, and VIP forms and ladders, \$95,000
- Dept. of Transportation: replace vendor LMS with OIT LMS, OnBase project, Contract Management System, \$171,273
- Dept. of Public Safety: OnBase human resources project, \$48,852
- Dept. of Health Care Policy and Financing: Salesforce Marketing Cloud, \$229,800
- Dept. of Revenue: Kronos implementation (partial cost), \$51,577
- Dept. of Personnel and Administration: OnBase human resources project, \$30,496

Office of Information Technology

R-01 Modernizing Aging IT Systems

5. Page 3 of the budget request document discusses the \$2 million General Fund that was transferred to the Technology Risk Prevention and Response Fund in Senate Bill 21-287. Does OIT have plans for specific projects for which to use these funds yet?

OIT has allocated the \$1 million in available spending authority to initiatives needing immediate attention: Firewall Cleanup and Network Security Device Upgrades.

For the Firewall Cleanup project, the outcomes include:

- Improve stability of Firewalls and enables documentation of operational configurations
- Improves Security posture and reduces risk to the state
- Improves customer satisfaction for both internal Agency partners and our constituents
- Reduces technical debt through the elimination of legacy configurations
- Provides a single pane of glass to manage systems, thus reducing complexity and staff time needed to manage these

Once completed, the firewall cleanup will have two measurable benefits:

- Reduced service disruption from lengthy outage recovery steps
- Increased availability of network security personnel for more valuable work efforts, such as solutions development, delivery, and audit preparation/mitigations

For the Network Security Device Upgrades, the outcomes include:

- Replaces end-of-life hardware before catastrophic failure
- Eliminates dependency on Agency under-funded network refresh needs
- Improved customer service to internal agency partners and our citizens
- Reduces tech debt by modernizing hardware and ensuring support for critical network components
- Staff can work on more modern systems

Once completed, the network security device upgrades will have two measurable benefits:

- Improved stability of network by reduced service disruptions
- Increases network hardware capacity for SB-WAN readiness

With the evolving incidents with Log4J and Kronos, it is anticipated that some funds will be needed to be used to remediate, although no funds have yet been allocated.

Appendix:

| Description | Submitted Amount | Updated Amount | What is this system/ application used for? | Why this system/ application/ project was selected to be included in this request; | Who uses this system/ application? | What does the Acronym Stand for? | An overview of the a cost- benefit analysis and project alternatives | A detailed breakdown of the cost estimates and market research that was used to develop the estimates; | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Decomission Mainframe | | | | | | | | | |
| Application 1: SIDMOD | \$1,100,000 | \$2,494,850 | identity resolution | Highest operations and security risk to the state | Co. Dept of Human Services | SIDMOD= State Identification Module | Overall Cost estimate = \$34.4 M; Estimated savings = \$3.77 M/year. 11% return on investment per year. | Application 1: SIDMOD Replace SIDMOD functionality and Broker (EntireX) interface (3 parts) Part 1 - SIDMOD to AWS Cloud - \$425,000 (6 months) Part 2 - Modification of Source Systems to integrate with AWS Cloud - \$360,000 (6 months) Part 3 - Replacement of EntireX Broker - \$261,000 (6 months) Staffing using contractor rates since term limited 18months: 1 Project Manager(\$358,800), 1 Business Analyst(\$263,250), 2 Developers(\$563,550), 1 Quality Assurance analyst (\$263,250) | | |
| Application 2: Electronic Benefit Transfer | \$10,300,000 | \$7,935,200 | Facilitates direct money transfers as part of eligibility and relief programs | Highest operations and security risk to the state | Co. Dept of Human Services | EBT= Electronic Benefits Transfer | Overall Cost estimate = \$34.4 M; Estimated savings = \$3.77 M/year. 11% return on investment per year. | FTEs per Project w/2 year Level of Effort: 3 Developers, 2 Business Analysts, 1 Quality Assurance analyst # Teams needed: 4 Total FTEs requested per year 2 years: 12 Developers (\$4,508,400), 8 Business Analysts(\$2,808,000), 4 Quality Assurance analyst (\$1,404,000), 1 Project Manager (\$478,400) | | |
| Application 3: ACSES Modernization | \$1,900,000 | \$2,180,100 | ACSES is a computerized network used in most jurisdictions to collect child support. | Highest operations and security risk to the state | Co. Dept of Human Services | ACSES= Automated Child Support Enforcement System | Overall Cost estimate = \$34.4 M; Estimated savings = \$3.77 M/year. 11% return on investment per year. | FTEs per Project w/1 year Level of Effort: 3 Developers, 2 Business Analysts, 1 Quality Assurance analyst # Teams needed: 2 Total FTEs requested: 6 Developers(\$1,127,100), 4 Business Analysts (\$702,000), 2 Quality Assurance analysts(\$351,000) | | |
| Application 4: CPPS port application to server environment | \$20,500,000 | \$17,979,000 | Statewide payroll system and accounting interface | Highest operations and security risk to the state | Co. Dept of Personnel & Administration - impacts statewide payroll processing | CPPS= Colorado Personnel Payroll System | Overall Cost estimate = \$34.4 M; Estimated savings = \$3.77 M/year. 11% return on investment per year. | LOE=2Yrs. Below needed for 2 years 5 Project Managers (one lead PM, 4 PM's to handle an average of 4 agencies)-\$2,392,000 16 Business Analysts (one per agency) \$5,616,000 20 Lead Developers (one per agency and the CPPS Team) \$7,514,000 7 Quality Assuance analysts \$2,457,000 | | |
| Application 5: Replacement of MFT (Cyberfusion) | \$600,000 | \$941,200 | Provides functionality for various systems to share data files via the mainframe | Highest operations and security risk to the state | CDHS, HCPF, CDLE, DOR | , | Overall Cost estimate = \$34.4 M; Estimated savings = \$3.77 M/year. 11% return on investment per year. | LOE= 1 yr 1 Project Manager (\$239,200) 1 Business Analyst(\$175,500) 2 Developers(\$351,000) 1 Quality Assurance analyst(\$175,500) | | |
| | Other Tech Debt Projects | | | | | | | | | |
| Salesforce Security Vulnerabilities Refactoring | \$1,300,000 | \$1 9 24 0 00 | Salesforce is a customer relationship management (CRM) platform that allows for marketing, sales, commerce, service and IT teams work as one from anywhere. | Highest operations and security risk to the state | All agencies utilizing Salesforce | Not Applicable | Overall Cost estimate = \$8M; Estimated savings = \$2.79 M/year. 35% return on investment per year. | LOE= 1 yr 1 Project Manager (\$239,200) 1 Business Analyst (\$175,500) 5 Salesforce Administrators/Developers (\$1,071,200) 2 Salesforce-trained Quality Assurance analysts(\$351,000) | | |

| | | | | Why this system/ | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | What is this | application/ project | | | | |
| | Submitted | | system/ application used | was selected to be included in this | Who uses this system/ | What does the Acronym | An overview of the a cost- benefit analysis and project | A detailed breakdown of the cost estimates and market research that |
| Description | Amount | Updated Amount | for? | request; | application? | Stand for? | alternatives | was used to develop the estimates; |
| | | | | The report which | | | | |
| | | | | was the outcome of SB 19-251 | | ITSM+Inform | | |
| | | | | recommended that | | ation | | |
| | | | | OIT set up a IT Asset | | Technology System | | |
| | | | This platform is | Management | | Management; | | |
| | | | used to manage IT | program and Configuration | | ITAM = | | |
| | | | projects, IT assets, | Management | | Information | | ************************************** |
| | | | business management, | Database. Some | | Technology Asset | | HAMPro (licensing 1yr) - \$309,295 SAMPro (licensing 1yr) - \$674,830 |
| | | | service desk, | funding was approved and the | | Management | Overall Cost estimate = \$8M; | IT Operations Management (licensing 1yr) - \$288,675 |
| | | | billing, and | work commenced, | All agencies | (OIT has | Estimated savings = \$2.79 | IT Asset Anlaysts to commence audit of non- discovery assets (1Yr) - |
| ITSM and ITAM | \$2,400,000 | \$2,400,000 | financial management. | the Hardware Asset | supported by OIT | procured ServiceNow) | M/year. 35% return on investment per year. | \$375,000 Implementation estimate \$752,200 |
| TIAM | \$2,400,000 | \$2,400,000 | Salesforce is a | Management base | 011 | Service(NOW) | nivesunent per year. | Implementation estimate \$7.52,200 |
| | | | customer | | | | | |
| | | | relationship | | | | | |
| | | | management | | | | | |
| | | | (CRM) platform that allows for | | | | | |
| | | | marketing, sales, | | | | | |
| Salesforce | | | commerce, service | N. 1 | | | | 1 Project Manager (\$239,200) |
| Classic to Lightning | | | and IT teams work as one from | No longer vendor supported. Medium | All agencies utilizing | Not | Estimated savings = \$2.79 M/year. 35% return on | 1 Business Analyst (\$175,500) 5 Salesforce Administrators/Developers (\$1,071,200) |
| Upgrade | \$1,600,000 | \$1,836,900 | anywhere. | security risk. | Salesforce | Applicable | investment per year. | 2 Salesforce-trained Quality Assurance analysts(\$351,000) |
| | | | SharePoint is used | | | | | FTEs per Agency w/1 year Level of Effort: 3 Developers, 2 Business |
| SharePoint | | | as a secure place | | CDA CDRIE | | OII Cool orlined a #8M | Analysts, 1 Quality Assurance analyst |
| Migration to | | | to store, organize, share, and access | Tech debt reduction. | CDA, CDPHE, CDPS, CPW, | | Overall Cost estimate = \$8M; Estimated savings = \$2.79 | # agency Teams needed: 2 (allows 3 Agencies to be simultaneously) Total FTEs requested: 6 Developers(\$1,127,100), 4 Business Analysts |
| platforms | | | information from | Medium security | DORA and | Not | M/year. 35% return on | (\$702,000), 1 Quality Assurance analysts(\$175,500), 1 Project Manager |
| OnBase | \$100,000 | \$2,243,800 | any device. | risk. | CDOT | Applicable | investment per year. | (\$239,200) |
| Enterprise | | | | | | | | |
| Identity (SSO, MFA, and Proof | | | | | | | | |
| of Identity) | | | | | | | | |
| note: this | | | | | | | | |
| replaces the line item for | | | | | | | | |
| PPMA/PEAT | | | | | | | | |
| migration since | | | | | | | | |
| PEAT migration | | | There is no statewide single | | | SSO= Single | | |
| already | | | identity, single | | | Sign On | | |
| completed and | | | sign on, this | | | MFA= | Overall Cost estimate = \$8M; | |
| Enterprise Identity is | | | would be used for all systems and | Highest operations and security risk to | | Multifactor authenticatio | Estimated savings = \$2.79 M/year. 35% return on | |
| critical | \$1,400,000 | \$1,400,000 | , | the state | All agencies | n | investment per year. | License costs (vendor quote) - \$1.4M |

| Description | Submitted Amount | Updated Amount | What is this system/ application used for? | Why this system/ application/ project was selected to be included in this request; | Who uses this system/application? | What does the Acronym Stand for? | An overview of the a cost- benefit analysis and project alternatives | A detailed breakdown of the cost estimates and market research that was used to develop the estimates; |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| MS Access | \$700,000 | \$614,900 | Microsoft Access is an information management tool, or relational database, that helps you store information for reference, reporting and analysis. | Highest operations and security risk to the state | All agencies | MS= Microsoft | Overall Cost estimate = \$8M; Estimated savings = \$2.79 M/year. 35% return on investment per year. | 1 Project Manager (\$239,200) 2 Developers(\$375,700) |
| Call Center Transformation | \$500,000 | \$614,900 | There are four agencies which have old call centers (IVR or others) which can be upgraded to Omnichannel support. This project would migrate 15 call centers used by DOR, OIT, CDPHE, and others. | Migrate to modern, stable platform. Medium security risk. | All agencies | Not Applicable | Overall Cost estimate = \$8M; Estimated savings = \$2.79 M/year. 35% return on investment per year. | 1 Project Manager (\$239,200) 2 Developers(\$375,700) |
| | | | | .] | Exit Efort and | d Cloud M | <u>igration</u> | |
| Cloud Migration | \$2,900,000 | \$2,900,000 | Provides a virtual private cloud for business application hosting to reduce physical service space and costs. | Cloud migration. Medium security risk. | All agencies | Not Applicable | Overall Cost estimate = \$23.6 M; Estimated savings = \$4.33 M/year. 18% return on investment per year. | Cloud migration costs and annual Ops Support - \$2,309,800 Project Manager-\$239,200 Business Analyst-\$175,500 Solutions Engineer-\$175,500 |
| Upgrading SQL servers from 2008 | \$2,100,000 | \$2,319,460 | Provides an operating system for business applications. | Highest operations and security risk to the state | All agencies | Not Applicable | Overall Cost estimate = \$23.6 M; Estimated savings = \$4.33 M/year. 18% return on investment per year. | FTEs needed: 1 Server Admin (\$214,240), 1 Firewall Admin (\$214,240), 2 Database Administrators (\$428,480), 2 Systems Analysts (\$457,600), 1 Project Manager (\$239,200), 1 Scrum master(\$239,200), 1 Business Analyst (\$175,500), 2 Testers (\$351,000) |
| Windows 2008 retirement | \$5,200,000 | \$3,987,750 | Provides an operating system for business applications. | Highest operations and security risk to the state | All agencies | Not Applicable | Overall Cost estimate = \$23.6 M; Estimated savings = \$4.33 M/year. 18% return on investment per year. | FTEs per Agency w/1 year Level of Effort: 3 Developers, 2 Business Analysts, 1 Quality Assurance analyst, 1 project manager # agency Teams needed: 3 (allows 3 Agencies to be simultaneously) Total FTEs requested: 9 Developers(\$1,690,650), 6 Business Analysts (\$1,053,000), 3 Quality Assurance analysts(\$526,500), 3 project mananger (\$717,600) |

| | | | | | | | 1 | |
|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|--|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | | | What is this | Why this system/ application/ project | | | | |
| | | | system/ | was selected to be | Who uses this | What does | An overview of the a cost- | |
| | Submitted | | application used | included in this | system/ | the Acronym | benefit analysis and project | A detailed breakdown of the cost estimates and market research that |
| Description | Amount | Updated Amount | for? | request; | application? | Stand for? | alternatives | was used to develop the estimates; |
| | | | A reliable, | | | | | |
| | | | monitored, secure, | | | | | |
| | | | and state-owned | | | | | |
| | | | storage platform | | | | | |
| | | | for application | | | | | 1. Cloud Services - \$1,650,000 one-time |
| | | | and database | | | | | 2. Lakewood Data Center Buildout - \$1,750,000 one-time [with \$200,000 |
| | | | needs as well as | | | | Overall Cost estimate = \$23.6 | ongoing] |
| Infrastructure | | | backups, disaster | Highest operations | | | M; Estimated savings = \$4.33 | 3. Rearchitecture - \$100,000 one-time |
| and Network | | | recovery, and file | and security risk to | | Not | M/year. 18% return on | 4. Project Manager - \$239,200 one-time |
| Buildout | \$4,100,000 | \$4,404,200 | shares. | the state | All agencies | Applicable | investment per year. | 5. FTE (2) - Cloud Platform Services - \$665,000 ongoing |
| | | | A reliable, | | | | | |
| | | | monitored, secure, | | | | | |
| | | | and state-owned | | | | | |
| | | | storage platform | | | | | |
| | | | for application | | | | | |
| | | | and database | | | | | |
| | | | needs as well as | | | | Overall Cost estimate = \$23.6 | |
| | | | backups, disaster | Highest operations | | | M; Estimated savings = \$4.33 | |
| Storage | | | recovery, and file | and security risk to | | Not | M/year. 18% return on | 1. Storage Area Network and Cloud Storage - \$3,600,000 |
| Renewal | \$5,200,000 | \$5,200,000 | shares. | the state | All agencies | Applicable | investment per year. | 2. On-Prem Shared Services Storage - \$1,600,000 |
| | | | The CSN Core is | | | | | |
| | | | the information | | | | | |
| | | | highway built for | | | | | |
| | | | state enterprises. | The existing network | | | | 1. Project Manager - \$239,200 one-time |
| | | | As the hut, it | communication gear | | | | 2. Upgrade Primary Internet Bandwidth to 20GB - \$400,000 one-time |
| | | | provides the | requires a refresh | | | | [with \$300,000 ongoing] |
| | | | deployment | and functional | | | | 3. Infrastructure Backbone - \$1,000,000 one-time [with \$275,000 ongoing] |
| | | | flexibility and | expansion to | | | | 4. Next Gen Networking(SDWAN/SASE/DIA) - \$1,000,000 one-time [with |
| | | | administrative | continue supporting | | | | \$500,000 ongoing] |
| | | | control you need | ongoing statewide | | | | 5. Data Center Failover - \$900,000 one-time [with \$200,000 ongoing] |
| | | | to manage | business needs. | | | M; Estimated savings = \$4.33 | 6. Enterprise Wireless - \$300,000 one-time [with \$200,000 ongoing] |
| CORE network | | | mission-critical | Medium security | | Not | M/year. 18% return on | 7. Enterprise ISE - Identity Services Engine - \$300,000 one-time [with |
| refresh | \$4,100,000 | \$4,139,200 | applications. | risk. | All agencies | Applicable | investment per year. | \$200,000 ongoing] |